

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/890,734	08/02/2001	Jean-Louis Delevallee	VMF-493-A	7828
7.	590 09/17/2002			
Andrew R Basile			EXAMINER	
Young & Basile 3001 West Big Beaver Road Suite 624 Troy, MI 48084			MULLINS, BURTON S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	

DATE MAILED: 09/17/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	9	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/890,734	DELEVALLEE, JEAN-LOUIS			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Burton S. Mullins	2834			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply		eet with the correspondence address			
THE   - Externanter - If the - If NC - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION IN THIS COMMUNION IN THIS COMMUNION IN THIS COMMUNION IN THIS FORM THE MAILING ABOVE IS LESS THAN THIS COMMUNION IN THIS PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THIS COMMUNICATION INTO THE COMMUNICATI	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however unication. )) days, a reply within the statutory minimu tutory period will apply and will expire SIX will, by statute, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed  m of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>02 August 2001</i> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is non-final	l.			
3) 🗌 Dispositi	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the pract on of Claims		al matters, prosecution as to the merits is 35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-31</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>16-31</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	•	tion and/or election requireme	nt.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.				
10)🖾 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 August 200</u>	<u>01</u> is/are: a)⊡ accepted or b)⊠	objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s) be held in	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)[] 7	The proposed drawing correction filed	on is: a) ☐ approved I	o) disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority of	documents have been receive	d.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
		· ·	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign lang	guage provisional application	has been received.			
Attachment		priority aridor 50 C				
1) Notice 2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa	(O-948) 5) No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) tice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) ner:			

Art Unit: 2834

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

## Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed August 2, 2001 has been considered.

#### **Drawings**

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "charcoal" (claim 30) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### Specification

4. The preliminary amendment and substitute specification filed August 2, 2001 have been entered.

Application/Control Number: 09/890,734

Art Unit: 2834

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 16-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In all of claims 16-31, the construction "characterized in that..." is clumsy, vague syntax and should be changed.

In claim 16, recitation "first and second radial ends" is not clear. How are the ends of the coil "radial ends" when they are located axially from each other? Recitation "two parts directly mounted on each other" is vague. Should this be ---two parts directly mounted to each other---? Recitation "the frame is sealed" is vague. Does this mean the housing is sealed from the outside? Recitation "two components transversally assembled one on each other" is indefinite and vague. Does this mean that the components are assembled such that one is transverse the other? If so, how can this be since the two end parts are located axially with respect to one another?

In claim 17, "end walls enveloping nearer the ends of the coil" is vague and indefinite.

In claim 18, "centrally bowl shaped" is vague and indefinite.

In claim 20, insert "and" after --- aluminum,---.

In claim 22, recitation "one of the two pieces of the frame being made up of one piece with at least one part of a piece of a gear box casing of an actuator to which the motor

Application/Control Number: 09/890,734

Art Unit: 2834

corresponds" is vague, indefinite and confusing. Does this mean that one of the pieces comprises a portion of gear box casing of an actuator driven by the motor?

In claim 23, "its exterior elements" is vague, indefinite and lacks antecedent basis. Recitation "that contributes to the increase of the thermal changes with the ambient air" is vague, non-idiomatic and indefinite. What is an "increase of the thermal change"?

In claim 27, "an assembly flange of pieces between them" is vague and indefinite.

In claim 28, "characterized by at least one of the flanges is interrupted by...a fixation lug" is improper grammar and indefinite. Does this mean the lug is sandwiched between the flanges?

In claim 29, "closing plate" is vague. What does the adjective "closing" mean? What is the "other piece...attached" to?

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 16-21, 26, 29 and 31, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vacha (US 2,598,623). Vacha teaches an electric motor including a rotor 32 provided with a coil (coils 1-11 in Figs.10-13) having first and second radial ends (not numbered, see Fig.1) and mounted in a hollow frame formed of outer shell 81 having end

Art Unit: 2834

walls 16 and 17 (Figs.1&6); the parts made of heat conducting material (the end walls 16 and 17 are "castings" of a metal, i.e., heat conducting material; c.3, lines 9-10); the frame carrying induction means (stator 33 with poles 36-39; Fig.6); characterized in that the frame is sealed (the end walls/castings 16/17 together with shell 81 enclose the rotor; Figs.1&6), and the two parts are two components 16/17 transversally assembled one on each other, and the end wall of each part is continuously adjacent to one of the first and second ends of the coil (Fig.1).

Vacha differs in that the hollow frame does not comprise two parts directly mounted on each other, but rather three parts (shell 81 and end walls/castings 16 and 17) directly mounted on each other.

However, to integrate previously separate elements such as the shell 81 with an end wall/casting 17 and thus form a frame comprising two parts would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill since integration of parts has been held to involve ordinary skill. In re Larson, 144 USPQ 347 (CCPA 1965).

Regarding claims 17-18, changes in shape to the enveloping portion of the end walls/castings in Vacha would have been obvious since shape changes have been held to involve ordinary skill. In re Dailey, 149 USPQ (CCPA 1976).

Regarding claims 19-21 and 31, though Vacha does not explicitly state the material of the end walls/castings, choice of a preferred material/s on the basis of its suitability for the intended use has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ.

Regarding claim 26, both end walls 16/17 include axially and radially-facing portions.

Art Unit: 2834

9. Claims 23-25, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vacha as applied to claim 16 above, and further in view of Onjanow (US 3,610,975). Vacha does not teach cooling means on the end walls.

Onjanow teaches cooling fins on end brackets 18/19 of an enclosed motor (Figs. 1&3). The fins improve heat transfer (c.1, lines 40-65).

It would have been obvious to provide cooling fins per Onjanow on the exterior of the end walls of Vacha since these would have been desirable for heat transfer.

Regarding claim 25, note fixation lugs 91 in Vacha.

10. Claims 27-28, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vacha as applied to claim 16 above, and further in view of Yabushita (US 5,514,922). Vacha does not teach a flange on the housing pieces.

Yabushita teaches a hermetically sealed motor including housing pieces 111-113 (Fig.1; c.4, lines 25-26). The pieces are secured by flanges comprising annular projections 15a/16a formed at respective outer peripheries of the opening end surfaces of the pieces (c.5, lines 49-66). This forms a joint whereby the housing pieces are connected to each other in a sealed manner (c.5, lines 63-66).

It would have been obvious to employ the flange connection of Yabushita to connect the housing parts of Vacha since such a connection would have been desirable to seal the housing pieces together.

Application/Control Number: 09/890,734

Art Unit: 2834

Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claims 22 and 30 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35

U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art does not teach that one

of the two pieces comprises a part of a gear box casing of an actuator. Neither does the prior

art teach or suggest a plate carrying charcoal placed on the frame interior on the end wall of

one of the two pieces.

Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Burton S. Mullins whose telephone number is 305-7063. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9 am to 5 pm. If attempts to reach the

examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be

reached on 308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or

proceeding is assigned are 305-1341 for regular communications and 305-1341 for After Final

communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-0956.

Burton S. Mulins Primary Examiner Art Unit 2834

bsm

September 14, 2002

Page 7